

Richard B. Mazer SBN: 49632
Law Office of Richard B. Mazer
99 Divisadero St.
San Francisco, CA 94117
Tel: 415 621 4100
richardbmazer@gmail.com

Counsel for Defendant
Douglas Stepney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	CR 01-0344 EMC
)	
v.)	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER
)	EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO FILE
DOUGLAS STEPNEY,)	REPLY TO MOTION AND MOVING HEARING
)	DATE
Defendant.)	
)	
)	
)	

STIPULATION

The parties agree that, with the Court's concurrence, the time within which the defendant will file his Reply to Government's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Court to Exercise Supervisory Power and Recall Judgment (filed on December 21, 2016 in CR-01-0344 EMC), may be extended as follows: The defendant shall file his Reply Brief on or before March 8, 2017. Accordingly, the parties are requesting that the current March 22, 2017, 2:30 p.m. hearing date and time be continued to March 29, 2017 at 2:30 p.m.

The parties agree that counsel for the defendant requires additional time to consult with the

1 client, who is incarcerated, as well as conduct additional legal research.

2 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

3
4
5 Dated: March 1, 2017

_____/s/_____
6 RICHARD B MAZER
7 Attorney for Douglas Stepney
8

9 Dated: March 1, 2017

_____/s/_____
10 ANDREW M. SCOBLE
11 Assistant United States Attorney
12
13

14 **[~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER**

15 Based upon the above Stipulation, and for good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED
16 THAT the defendant shall file its Reply to the Government's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for
17 Court to Exercise Supervisory Power and Recall Judgment on or before March 8, 2017, and the Court
18 will hear argument on said motion on March 29, 2017 at 2:30 p.m.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20
21 DATED: 3/2/17

